

# Clarification of Monitoring Rule Provisions Involving Comparisons to the NAAQS Sampling Frequency and Minimum Monitoring Requirements

1

71 FR 61299, §58.12(d)(1) says:

Manual PM<sub>2.5</sub> samplers at SLAMS stations other than NCore stations must operate on at least a 1-in-3 day schedule at sites without a collocated continuously operating PM<sub>2.5</sub> monitor. For SLAMS PM<sub>2.5</sub> sites with both manual and continuous PM<sub>2.5</sub> monitors operating, the monitoring agency may request approval for a reduction to 1-in-6 day PM<sub>2.5</sub> sampling at SLAMS stations or for seasonal sampling from the EPA Regional Administrator. The EPA Regional Administrator may grant sampling frequency reductions after consideration of factors, including but not limited to the historical PM<sub>2.5</sub> data quality assessments, the location of current PM<sub>2.5</sub> design value sites, and their regulatory data needs. Sites that have design values that are within plus or minus 10 percent of the NAAQS; and sites where the 24-hour values exceed the NAAQS for a period of 3 years are required to maintain at least a 1-in-3 day sampling frequency. Sites that have a design value within plus or minus 5 percent of the daily PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS must have an FRM or FEM operate on a daily schedule.

**Q. How should the 24-hour design values be calculated to determine which PM<sub>2.5</sub> sites must be operated on a daily schedule?**

A. Design values should be calculated according to 40 CFR Part 50 Appendix N – Interpretation of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for PM<sub>2.5</sub>. Rounding conventions should follow paragraph 4.3(b) of Appendix N (i.e., to the nearest 1 ug/m<sup>3</sup>). Appropriately rounded 24-hour design values should be compared to the plus or minus 5 percent criteria to determine the required sampling frequency (only design values of 34, 35, and 36 ug/m<sup>3</sup> are within plus or minus 5 percent). This test is applicable only to the maximum 24-hour design value site within a particular area, not all sites in that area.

Example 1.

The 24-hour design value site for a nonattainment area has the following 98<sup>th</sup> percentile readings for the most recent 3 years of data:

Year 1: 33.5 ug/m<sup>3</sup>

Year 2: 36.4 ug/m<sup>3</sup>

Year 3: 37.4 ug/m<sup>3</sup>

Calculate 3-year average:  $33.5+36.4+37.4 = 35.7666\dots$  ug/m<sup>3</sup>

24-hour design value (round 3-year average to nearest whole number) = 36 ug/m<sup>3</sup>

Absolute value of  $(36/35) = 2.9\%$  different from daily PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS

Is the design value within plus or minus 5 % of daily PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS? Yes

Daily sampling frequency required? Yes

Example 2.

The 24-hour design value site for an MSA has the following 98<sup>th</sup> percentile readings for the most recent 3 years of data:

Year 1: 31.9 ug/m<sup>3</sup>

Questions? Lewis Weinstock/OAQPS/AQAD/AAMG

[Weinstock.lewis@epa.gov](mailto:Weinstock.lewis@epa.gov) or 919-541-3661

# Clarification of Monitoring Rule Provisions Involving Comparisons to the NAAQS Sampling Frequency and Minimum Monitoring Requirements

2

Year 2: 34.2 ug/m<sup>3</sup>

Year 3: 34.0 ug/m<sup>3</sup>

Calculate 3-year average:  $31.9+34.2+34.0 = \underline{33.3666...}$  ug/m<sup>3</sup>

24-hour design value (round 3-year average to nearest whole number) = 33 ug/m<sup>3</sup>

Absolute value of  $(33/35) = 5.7\%$  different from daily PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS

Is the design value within plus or minus 5 % of daily PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS ? No

Daily sampling frequency required? No

Example 3.

The 24-hour design value site for a nonattainment area has the following 98<sup>th</sup> percentile readings for the most recent 3 years of data:

Year 1: 37.0 ug/m<sup>3</sup>

Year 2: 35.5 ug/m<sup>3</sup>

Year 3: 37.9 ug/m<sup>3</sup>

Calculate 3-year average:  $37.0+35.5+37.9 = \underline{36.8}$  ug/m<sup>3</sup>

24-hour design value (round 3-year average to nearest whole number) = 37 ug/m<sup>3</sup>

Absolute value of  $(37/35) = 5.7\%$  different from daily PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS

Is the design value within plus or minus 5 % of daily PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS ? No

Daily sampling frequency required? No

**Q. What “boundaries” should be used for defining a maximum site for the sites that are not inside designated attainment/nonattainment areas for purposes of establishing daily PM<sub>2.5</sub> sampling frequency requirements?**

A. The rule does not specify, but MSA boundaries would be an appropriate choice for such boundaries in situations where there are no designated attainment/nonattainment areas. The daily sampling requirement does not apply to non-required PM<sub>2.5</sub> monitors that are located outside of MSA boundaries, even if such monitors have design values that are within plus or minus 5% of the daily NAAQS. States operating such monitors would be encouraged, but not required, to sample on a daily basis.

**Q. How should the following rule language in §58.12 (d)(1) be interpreted?**

**Sites that have design values that are within plus or minus 10 percent of the NAAQS;**

A. This refers to sites (with both manual and continuous PM<sub>2.5</sub> monitors operating) that have design values within plus or minus 10 percent of either the annual or 24-hour PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS.

**Q. How should the following rule language in §58.12 (d)(1) be interpreted?**

**.....; and sites where the 24-hour values exceed the NAAQS for a period of 3 years are required to maintain at least a 1-in-3 day sampling frequency.**

A. Any PM<sub>2.5</sub> site where at least one 24-hour value has exceeded the NAAQS (concentration of at least 35.5 ug/m<sup>3</sup>) for 3 consecutive years must maintain at least a

# Clarification of Monitoring Rule Provisions Involving Comparisons to the NAAQS Sampling Frequency and Minimum Monitoring Requirements

3

1-in-3 day sampling frequency. Note – this is an exceedance-based (not design value-based) calculation.

Q. How should the design value calculations referenced in 40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D Table D-2 (SLAMS Minimum O<sub>3</sub> Monitoring Requirements) be interpreted?

A. Calculate the most recent design value according to 40 CFR Part 50 Appendix I – Interpretation of the 8-Hour Primary and Secondary National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Ozone, for the maximum reading site in an MSA. Note that paragraph 2.3 of Appendix I states that the third decimal place of the computed 3 year average is rounded, with values equal to or greater than 5 rounding up. Based on Table D-2, all MSA's with design values greater than or equal to 85 percent of any ozone NAAQS have greater minimum monitoring requirements (only design values of at least 0.07 ppm are greater than or equal to 85 percent).

Example 4.

The 8-hour design value site for a nonattainment area has the following 4<sup>th</sup> highest readings for the most recent 3 years of data:

Year 1: 0.070 ppm

Year 2: 0.068 ppm

Year 3: 0.062 ppm

Calculate 3-year average:  $0.070+0.068+0.062 = 0.0666\dots$  ppm

Truncate to 0.066 ppm per paragraph 2.2 of Appendix I

8-hour ozone design value (rounded to 2 decimal places) = 0.07 ppm

Value of  $(0.07/0.08) = 87.5\%$  of 8-hour ozone NAAQS

Is the design value greater than or equal to 85 percent of 8-hour NAAQS? Yes

Higher minimum monitoring requirements in Table D-2? Yes

Example 5.

The 8-hour design value site for an MSA has the following 4<sup>th</sup> highest readings for the most recent 3 years of data:

Year 1: 0.060 ppm

Year 2: 0.068 ppm

Year 3: 0.062 ppm

Calculate 3-year average:  $0.060+0.068+0.062 = 0.0633\dots$  ppm

Truncate to 0.063 ppm per paragraph 2.2 of Appendix I

8-hour ozone design value (rounded to 2 decimal places) = 0.06 ppm

Value of  $(0.06/0.08) = 75.0\%$  of 8-hour ozone NAAQS

Is the design value greater than or equal to 85 percent of 8-hour NAAQS? No

Higher minimum monitoring requirements in Table D-2? No

Q. How should the design value calculations referenced in 40 CFR Part 58 Appendix D Table D-5 (PM<sub>2.5</sub> Minimum Monitoring Requirements) be interpreted?

# Clarification of Monitoring Rule Provisions Involving Comparisons to the NAAQS Sampling Frequency and Minimum Monitoring Requirements

4

A. As stated earlier, design values should be calculated according to 40 CFR Part 50 Appendix N – Interpretation of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for PM<sub>2.5</sub>. Rounding conventions should follow paragraph 4.3(a) of Appendix N (to nearest 0.1 ug/m<sup>3</sup>) for the annual PM<sub>2.5</sub> standard design value and paragraph 4.3(b) of Appendix N (to nearest 1 ug/m<sup>3</sup>) for the 24-hour PM<sub>2.5</sub> standard design value. Based on Table D-5, all MSA's with design values greater than or equal to 85 percent of any PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS have greater minimum monitoring requirements.

## Example 6.

The maximum PM<sub>2.5</sub> annual design value site for an MSA has the following annual averages for the most recent 3 years of data:

Year 1: 13.3182... ug/m<sup>3</sup>

Year 2: 12.6954... ug/m<sup>3</sup>

Year 3: 12.2015... ug/m<sup>3</sup>

Calculate 3-year average:  $13.3182... + 12.6954... + 12.2015... = 12.7383... \text{ ug/m}^3$

Annual design value (round 3-year average to nearest 0.1 ug/m<sup>3</sup>) = 12.7 ug/m<sup>3</sup>

Value of  $(12.7/15) = 84.7\%$  of annual PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS

Is the design value greater than or equal to 85 percent of annual NAAQS? No

Higher minimum monitoring requirements in Table D-5? No

## Example 7.

The maximum PM<sub>2.5</sub> 24-hour design value site for an MSA has the following 98<sup>th</sup> percentile readings for the most recent 3 years of data:

Year 1: 29.7 ug/m<sup>3</sup>

Year 2: 31.0 ug/m<sup>3</sup>

Year 3: 28.6 ug/m<sup>3</sup>

Calculate 3-year average:  $29.7 + 31.0 + 28.6 = 29.7666... \text{ ug/m}^3$

24-hour design value (round 3-year average to nearest 1 ug/m<sup>3</sup>) = 30 ug/m<sup>3</sup>

Value of  $(30/35) = 85.7\%$  of 24-hour PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS

Design value greater than or equal to 85 percent of daily NAAQS? Yes

Higher minimum monitoring requirements in Table D-5? Yes